Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

Displays for Statistics 5303

Lecture 36

December 2, 2002

Christopher Bingham, Instructor

612-625-7023 (St. Paul) 612-625-1024 (Minneapolis)

Class Web Page

http://www.stat.umn.edu/~kb/classes/5303

© 2002 by Christopher Bingham

Efficiency of a Incomplete Box Design

The efficiency is usually stated relative to a RCB design with the same number r of replicates and the same  $\sigma$ .

$$E_{BIBD:RCB} = g(k-1)/((g-1)k) = 1-(g-k)/((g-1)k)$$

Since number of treatments = g > k = block size,  $E_{BIBD-BCB} < 1$ .

Of course, one reason for using an incomplete block design is that  $\sigma^2$  tends to be smaller for small blocks than for large. Since  $E_{\text{BIBD:RCB}}$  assumes the same  $\sigma^2$  for a size k block as for a size g block, it may not provide a meaningful comparison of designs if the variances are very different.

Still  $E_{\text{BIBD:RCB}}$  is a useful number since it appears in a number of formulas.

2

Statistics 5303

Lecture 36

December 2, 2002

Statistics 5303

Lecture 36

December 2, 2002

 $E_{\mbox{\tiny BIBD:RCB}}$  mainly enters through the **effective number of replicates** 

$$r' = E_{BIBD:RCB} \times r < r.$$

Here are several ways r' is used.

## Estimates of &

Let  $v_{ij} = y_{ij} - \overline{y_{\bullet j}} = \text{response with block}$ mean removed. Then

$$\hat{\alpha}_{i} = v_{i \bullet}/r'$$
 ((treatment sum)/r')

Treatment SS

$$SS_{trt} = r' \sum_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{2}$$

Variance and standard error of a contrast

$$V(\sum_{i} c_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i}) = (\sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}) \sigma^{2} / r'$$

$$SE(\sum_{i} c_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i}) = \sqrt{\{(\sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}) \sigma^{2} / r'\}}$$

These are like the complete block formulas with the effective replication r' in place of the actual replication r.

Analysis of BIBD Example 14.2 using MacAnova.

The analysis is virtually identical with a RCB design, although the "by hand" formulas are more complicated.

```
Cmd> tab14_1 <- read("","exmpl14.2")
exmpl14.2 36 3
) A data set from Oehlert (2000) \emph{A First Course in Design
) and Analysis of Experiments}, New York: W. H. Freeman.
)
) Data originally from John, P. W.~M. (1961). ``An application x
) and balanced incomplete block design
) '' \em Technometrics\/}~\em 3}, 51-54.
)
) Table 14.1, p. 359
) Test of 9 different detergents. There are three basins that
) are used simultaneously at the same rate with a different
) detergent in each basin. Response is number of plates until
) foam disappears in a basin.
) Column 1 is session. Column 2 is treatment (kind of detergent)
) Column 3 is response (number of dishes)
) Treatments 1-4 are detergent base 1
) with (3, 2, 1, or 0) parts additive
) Treatment 5-8 are detergent base
) 2 with (3, 2, 1, or 0) parts additive
) Treatment 9 is a control.
Read from file "TP1:Stat5303:Data:OeCh14.dat"

Cmd> makecols(tab14_1,session,treatment,count)

Cmd> session <- factor(session)

Cmd> treatment <- factor(treatment)
```

The blocking factor is session. It must appear in the model before treatment.

3

4

Statistics 5303

Cmd> anova("count=session + treatment",fstat:T)
Model used is count=session+treatment
WARNING: summaries are sequential

MINICIPLIANCE	Danimarico	are bequencial			
	DF	SS	MS	F	P-value
CONSTANT	1	13572	13572	16469.69663	1.5466e-25
session	11	412.75	37.523	45.53320	6.0284e-10
treatment	. 8	1086.8	135.85	164.85393	6.8089e-14
ERROR1	16	13.185	0.82407		

You can do pairwise multiple comparisons as for a CRD and CRB.

You can check that standard errors for different pairwise comparisons are the same:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{Cmd} > contrast(treatment, vector(1,-1,rep(0,7))) \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{estimate} \\ (1) & 2.5556 \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{ss} \\ (1) & 9.7963 \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{se} \\ (1) & 0.7412 \\ \\ \operatorname{Cmd} > contrast(treatment, vector(1,0,-1,rep(0,6))) \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{estimate} \\ (1) & 6.5556 \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{ss} \\ (1) & 64.463 \\ \operatorname{component} : & \operatorname{se} \\ (1) & 0.7412 \\ \\ \end{array}$$

3

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

## Recovery of interblock information

The incomplete randomized block model is

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where not all possible (i,j) occur.

Consider the block sums  $y_{\bullet j} \equiv \sum_i y_{ij}$  where the sum is over all treatments in block j. Then

$$y_{\bullet j} = \sum_{i} y_{ij} = k \mu + \sum_{i} n_{ij} \alpha_{i} + k \beta_{j} + \sum_{i} n_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}$$
$$= k \mu + \sum_{i} n_{ii} \alpha_{i} + \eta_{i}$$

where

- $n_{ij}$  = 1 if treatment i is in block j
- $n_{ii}$  = 0 if treatment i is not in block j
- $\eta_i \equiv k \beta_i + \sum_i n_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}$

Thus  $E_{BIBD:RCB} = .75$  and r' = 3.

Remove block means from the data.

Lecture 36

December 2, 2002

Note that  $\sum_i n_{ij} = k$  so that  $k\mu = \sum_i n_{ij}\mu$  and  $y_{\bullet i} = \sum_i n_{ii}(\mu + \alpha_i) + \eta_i$ 

This has the form of a multiple regression with no constant term

$$y_{\bullet j} = \sum_{i} \widetilde{\beta}_{i} x_{ij} + \eta_{j}$$

where the regression coefficients and predictor variables are

$$\widetilde{\beta}_i = \mu + \alpha_i \text{ and } x_{ij} = n_{ij}$$

Provided the block effects  $\beta_{_j}{}'s$  are random and independent,  $\eta_{_j}$  are independent with constant variance

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^2 = k^2 \sigma_{\beta}^2 + k \sigma^2$$
.

When this is the case we can get estimates of  $\mu + \alpha_i$  by least squares regression with  $y_{\bullet_j}$  as response variable and  $x_{ij} = n_{ij}$  as predictor variables.

7

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002 Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

```
Cmd> blksums <- tabs(count.session.sum:T) # v doti
Cmd> nij <- tabs(count,session, treatment,count:T)</pre>
Cmd> print(nij,format:"2.0f")
nij: (1,1)
                                        Trt 1, 2, 3 in block 1
                                        Trt 4, 5, 6
Trt 7, 8, 9
Trt 1, 4, 7
 (2,1)
(3,1)
                                                        in block 2 in block 3
           0
               0
                              0
                                 0
                                     0
                  0
                          0
                0
                  1
                       0
                          0
                             1
                                 0
                                     0
                                     0
 (5.1)
                          Ω
 (7.1)
            0
                Ω
                   Ω
(9,1)
(10,1)
            Ω
                       Ω
                          Ω
                             Ω
                   0
                  1
                      0
                          0
```

## Do regression without constant term by

Cmd> makecols(nij,x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8,x9)

adding -1 to the model:

```
Cmd> regress("blksums=x1+x2+x3+x4+x5+x6+x7+x8+x9-1")
Model used is blksums=x1+x2+x3+x4+x5+x6+x7+x8+x9-1
                                StdErr
                   Coef
                 19.75
15.417
                                 1.147
1.147
                                               17.219
                                               13.441
x2
                 13.417
                                 1.147
                                               11.697
                 6.4167
                                               5.5944
x4
                                 1.147
                  26.083
                                 1.147
                                               22.741
                                               20.997
17.219
хб
                 24.083
                                 1.147
x8
                 19.417
                                 1 147
                                               16.928
x9
                 30.417
                                 1.147
N: 12, MSE: 4.3056, DF: 3, R^2: 0.99969
Regression F(9,3): 1082.4, Durbin-Watson: 0.63656
To see the ANOVA table type 'anova()'
```

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

Cmd> anova("count=session + treatment", silent:T)

```
Cmd> coefs(treatment)
(1) 0.33333 -2.2222 -6.2222 -12.889 5.8889
(6) 3.5556 1.6667 -0.22222 10.111
```

These match column 1.

The combined est column is a linear combination which weights the estimates inversely proportional to their variance.

Caution: This interblock recovery works only when blocks are random.

- The standard errors of the inter-block estimates of treatment effects are always bigger than the intra-block estimates.
- Unless the number of blocks is large, there is unlikely to be much benefit from this procedure.

11

To get estimates of  $\alpha_i$  which sum to 0, you need to subtract the mean of the g coefficients from each coefficient.

```
Cmd> COEF - sum(COEF)/g # interblock estimates of alpha's x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8 x9 0.33333 -4 -6 -13 6.6667 4.6667 0.3333 -3.5527e-15 11
```

Macro interblock() provides a "black box" way to get these estimates

Cmd> interi	block(count	0.33333 0.91174 0.33333 0.43443 -4 0.91174 -2.6259 0.43443 -6 0.91174 -6.1718 0.43443 -13 0.91174 -12.914 0.43443 6.6667 0.91174 6.0655 0.43443 4.6667 0.91174 3.8078 0.43443 0.33333 0.91174 1.3639 0.43443				
intra est	intra se	inter est	inter seco	ombined est	combined se	
0.33333	0.49414	0.33333	0.91174	0.33333	0.43443	
-2.2222	0.49414	-4	0.91174	-2.6259	0.43443	
-6.2222	0.49414	-6	0.91174	-6.1718	0.43443	
-12.889	0.49414	-13	0.91174	-12.914	0.43443	
5.8889	0.49414	6.6667	0.91174	6.0655	0.43443	
3.5556	0.49414	4.6667	0.91174	3.8078	0.43443	
1.6667	0.49414	0.33333	0.91174	1.3639	0.43443	
-0.22222	0.49414 -	1.7764e-15	0.91174	-0.17177	0.43443	
10.111	0.49414	11	0.91174	10.313	0.43443	

The intra est column has estimates as computed by coefs() following anova(). They are intra-block estimates because they are implicitly computed from within block differences.

The inter est column has the same values as just found, the *interblock* estimates.

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

10

Balanced incomplete blocks designs have the property

- All treatment effects ⋈ can be estimated with equal accuracy
- All contrasts with the same  $\sum c_i^2$  have the same standard error, always larger than the standard error of the contrast from a RCB with r blocks and the same  $\sigma^2$ .

Essentially, the loss of efficiency is spread among all contrasts equally.

This isn't always desirable.

- Some contrasts are more important than others.
- Some contrasts may be assumed to be 0

12

Consider a  $2^3$  factorial with means  $\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \delta_k + \alpha \beta_{ik} + \alpha \delta_{ik} + \beta \delta_{ik} + \alpha \beta \delta_{ik}$ ,  $1 \le i, j, k \le 2$ 

You can express  $\mu$  and the main and interaction effects in terms of the  $\mu_{ij}$  's.

$$\mu = (\mu_{111} + \mu_{211} + \mu_{121} + \mu_{221} + \mu_{112} + \mu_{212} + \mu_{122} + \mu_{222})/8$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 =$$

$$(-\mu_{111} + \mu_{211} - \mu_{121} + \mu_{221} - \mu_{112} + \mu_{212} - \mu_{122} + \mu_{222})/8$$

$$\beta_2 = -\beta_1 =$$

$$(-\mu_{111} - \mu_{211} + \mu_{121} + \mu_{221} - \mu_{112} - \mu_{212} + \mu_{122} + \mu_{222})/8$$

$$\alpha \beta_{22} = \alpha \beta_{11} = -\alpha \beta_{12} = -\alpha \beta_{21} = (-\mu_{111} + \mu_{211} + \mu_{121} - \mu_{221} - \mu_{112} + \mu_{212} + \mu_{122} - \mu_{222})/8$$

These are all (except for  $\mu$ ) contrasts in the g =  $2^3$  = 8 treatment means  $\mu_{ijk}$ .

13

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

On p. 612-613 are several BIBD plans for g = 8 treatments, with block sizes k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Using any of you can estimate all effects equally accurately.

But often ABC is not important, or doesn't need to be estimated at all because you assume  $\alpha\beta \gamma_{ijk} = 0$ .

Here is an incomplete block design that is not a BIB for the g = 8 factorial treatments in blocks of size 4

Blocks I has the treatments for which the ABC contrast coefficients are -1 and block II has the treatments for which the ABC contrast coefficients are +1.

Except for the divisor 8, each effect can be found using a column of this table

	I	Α	В	С	ΑВ	АC	ВС	ABC
(1)	1	-1	- 1	- 1	1	1	1	- 1
а	1	1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	1	1
Ь	1	- 1	1	- 1	- 1	1	- 1	1
ab	1	1	1	- 1	1	- 1	- 1	- 1
С	1	- 1	- 1	1	1	- 1	- 1	1
ac	1	1	- 1	1	- 1	1	- 1	- 1
bc	1	- 1	1	1	- 1	- 1	1	- 1
abc	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

or, equivalently, of this one

	I	Α	В	С	ΑВ	AС	ВС	ABC
(1)	+	-	_	_	+	+	+	_
а	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
Ь	+	_	+	_	_	+	_	+
ab	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
С	+	-	_	+	+	_	_	+
ac	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
bс	+	-	+	+	-	_	+	-
abc	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

14

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

The estimate of  $\alpha\beta \gamma_{222}$  is  $\hat{\alpha}\beta \gamma_{222}$  =

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{$\stackrel{?}{\text{$J$}}$} & \text{$\stackrel{\text{$J$}}$} & \text{$\stackrel{\text{$J$}}{\text{$J$}}$} & \text{$\stackrel{\text{$J$}}{\text{$J$}}$} & \text{$\stackrel{\text{$J$}$$

Note that this has block effects "contaminating" the interaction effect. This "contamination" is known as confounding.

Here the interaction is confounded with the difference between blocks.

How about the other contrasts?
Because the contrasts are orthogonal

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{2} &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2} + \sum_{ijk}^{A} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ijk} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2} &= \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2} + \sum_{ijk}^{B} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ijk} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{jk} &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{jk} + \sum_{ijk}^{BC} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ijk}, \text{ etc.} \end{split}$$

These are unconfounded with block effects.

Statistics 5303 Lecture 36 December 2, 2002

Because of the way the blocks were chosen, ABC is called the *defining* contrast for the design.

Any other column of the table of contrasts (except the column of all +1's) could be the defining contrast for a design with blocks of size  $2^{k-1} = 4$ . The corresponding main effect or interaction would be confounded with blocks.

Block I consists of all the treatments with -1 and block II consists of all the treatments with +1 on the defining contrast.