A sadistic monarch hands one of his subjects a coin and states that the probability of tossing a head, say $p$, is either 0.3 or 0.8 . The subject is required to toss the coin 5 times and then to state whether she believes $p$ to be either 0.3 or 0.8 . If the subject makes the correct decision she wins 100 pieces of gold. If she says $p=0.8$ when $p=0.3$ she will go to jail for 7 years. If she says $p=0.3$ when $p=0.8$ she will go to jail for 1 month.

The dilemma facing the subject can be formulated as a statistical testing problem.

Let $X \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(5, p)$. After observing $X$ we must decide between the two hypotheses

$$
H: p=0.3 \quad K: p=0.8
$$

$H$ is call the Null hypothesis.
$K$ is called the Alternative hypothesis.
Before actually tossing the coin 5 times the subject can perform a thought experiment where she just imagines tossing the coin. For each possible outcome of $X=x$ she can decide whether or not she would reject $H$, that is decide $p=0.8$. (For us rejecting $H$ is the same as accepting $K$. Similarly accepting $H$ is the same as rejecting $K$.)

A strategy for the subject is to determine for what points in $\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$, the sample space of $X$, she wishes to reject $H$. We will call such a set a critical region and denote it by $\mathcal{C}$. How should the subject evaluate a possible critical region? Our answer depends on the lack of symmetry in the consequences of making the two types of error.

The two types of error are:

1. Type I error: Rejecting $H$ when in fact $H$ is true.
2. Type II error: Accepting $H$ when in fact $K$ is true.

For the subject the Type I error is deciding $p=0.8$ when $p=0.3$ is true and the Type II error is deciding $p=0.3$ when $p=0.8$. Note that for the subject the Type I error is the more serious of the two. The theory is based on this assumption and a testing problem needs to be set up to reflect this fact.

To evaluate a critical region $\mathcal{C}$ we must find the probability of making the Type I error when $H$ is true and the probability of making the Type II error when $K$ is true and we are using $\mathcal{C}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\alpha(\mathcal{C}) & =\text { Probability of making Type I error } \\
& =P_{H}(X \in \mathcal{C}) \\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}}\binom{5}{x}(0.3)^{x}(0.7)^{5-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta=\beta(\mathcal{C}) & =\text { Probability of making Type II error } \\
& =P_{K}(X \notin \mathcal{C}) \\
& =\sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}}\binom{5}{x}(0.8)^{x}(0.2)^{5-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

We evaluate the critical region $\mathcal{C}$ by considering its two error probabilities $\alpha=\alpha(\mathcal{C})$ and $\beta=\beta(\mathcal{C})$.

|  | $\mathcal{C}$ | $\alpha(\mathcal{C})$ | $\beta(\mathcal{C})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ | 0.8319 | 0.0003 |
| 2 | $\{2,3,4,5\}$ | 0.4718 | .0067 |
| 3 | $\{3,4,5\}$ | 0.1630 | 0.0579 |
| 4 | $\{4,5\}$ | 0.0308 | 0.2627 |
| 5 | $\{5\}$ | 0.0024 | 0.6723 |
| 6 | $\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | $\phi$ | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | $\{0,1,2\}$ | 0.8369 | 0.9421 |

Note the critcal region 8 is silly. In fact all of the critical regions from 1 trough 5 are better than it.

One can prove that the critical regions 1 through 7 are the only sensible ones for this testing problem.

No best choice amoung 1 through 7 . The answer depends on how strongly the subject wishes to avoid making the Type I error.

