

A brief introduction to fuzzy set theory

This is a quick introduction to some of the basic concepts and terminology of fuzzy set theory, which can be found in the most elementary of introductions to the subject. See for example *Fuzzy Set Theory: Foundations and Applications* by Klir, St. Clair, and Yuan. Published by Prentice Hall PTR in 1997.

A *fuzzy set* A in a space Θ is characterized by its *membership function*, which is a map $I_A : \Theta \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The value $I_A(\theta)$ is the “degree of membership” of the point θ in the fuzzy set A or the “degree of compatibility . . . with the concept represented by the fuzzy set”. See page 75 of (Klir, St. Clair, and Yuan, 1997). The idea is that we are uncertain about whether θ is in or out of the set A . The value $I_A(\theta)$ represents how much we think θ is in the fuzzy set A . The closer $I_A(\theta)$ is to 1.0, the more we think θ is in A . The closer $I_A(\theta)$ is to 0.0, the more we think θ is not in A .

A fuzzy set whose membership function only takes on the values zero or one is called *crisp*. For a crisp set, the membership function I_A is the same thing as the indicator function of an ordinary set A . Thus “crisp” is just the fuzzy set theory way of saying “ordinary,” and “membership function” is the fuzzy set theory way of saying “indicator function.” The *complement* of a fuzzy set A having membership function I_A is the fuzzy set B having membership function $I_B = 1 - I_A$.

If I_A is the membership function of a fuzzy set A , the γ -*cut* of A (Klir, St. Clair, and Yuan, 1997, Section 5.1) is the crisp set

$$\gamma I_A = \{\theta : I_A(\theta) \geq \gamma\}.$$

Clearly, knowing all the γ -cuts for $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ tells us everything there is to know about the fuzzy set A . The 1-cut is also called the *core* of A , denoted $\text{core}(A)$ and the set

$$\text{supp}(A) = \bigcup_{\gamma > 0} \gamma I_A = \{\theta : I_A(\theta) > 0\}$$

is called the *support* of A (Klir, St. Clair, and Yuan, 1997, p. 100). A fuzzy set is said to be *convex* if each γ -cut is convex (Klir, St. Clair, and Yuan, 1997, pp. 104–105).