

Stat 5421 (Geyer) Spring 2016  
Homework Assignment 4  
Due Monday, November 23, 2020

**Our problem 4.1.** Agresti problem 8.28 except just analyze as a contingency table. Do not treat any variable as the “response.” These data are in R package ‘CatDataAnalysis’.

```
library(CatDataAnalysis)
data(exercise_8.28)
sapply(exercise_8.28, class)

## Satisfaction      Contact      Influence      Housing      counts
##      "factor"      "factor"      "factor"      "factor"      "numeric"
```

**Our problem 4.2.** Agresti problem 8.28 as stated by Agresti (so this is a redo of the preceding problem treating **Satisfaction** as the “response”).

**Our problem 4.3.** Agresti problem 8.8 except rather than use the analysis in Agresti do your own analysis using the R function `polr` in the R package `MASS`. The data can be read into R as follows as follows

```
foo <-
  read.table(url("http://www.stat.umn.edu/geyer/5421/data/table-8.18.txt"),
            header = TRUE)
sapply(foo, class)

##      status      seat.belt      location      gender      counts
## "character" "character" "character" "character" "integer"

lapply(foo, unique)

## $status
## [1] "not injured"          "injured, no ER"
## [3] "injured, ER, not hospitalized" "hospitalized did not die"
## [5] "died"
##
## $seat.belt
## [1] "No" "Yes"
##
## $location
```

```
## [1] "Urban" "Rural"
##
## $gender
## [1] "Female" "Male"
##
## $counts
## [1] 7287 175 720 91 10 11587 126 577 48 8 3246 73
## [13] 710 159 31 6134 94 564 82 17 10381 136 566 96
## [25] 14 10969 83 259 37 1 6123 141 188 45 6693 74
## [37] 353 12
```

Note that `status` is not an ordered factor. You have to handle that yourself.

The thing I said in class about having to redefine the data so there is one row of the data for each individual turns out to be unnecessary. One of the examples on the help for the `polr` function shows how to use the `weights` argument to avoid that.

Find the best model you can. R generic function `anova` function produces *P*-values for tests of model comparison. It has a method for objects of class `"polr"` produced by R function `polr`.

I'm not sure exactly what Agresti meant for (b). Just make a confidence interval for the regression coefficient for gender.

For part (c) interpret all of the regression coefficients. What does it mean that they have the signs they do (if anything). In interpreting an interaction term (like the location by seat belt interaction Agresti asks about), figure out what the size of the effects are for all four classes (for this interaction, rural without seat belt, rural with seat belt, urban without seat belt, urban with seat belt).

It may help to read the details section of the on-line help for the `polr` function. It may also help to look at the probabilities produced by the method of R generic function `predict` applied to R objects of class `"polr"` produced by R function `polr`. It is weird that this function has no on-line help but has arguments unlike the method of R generic function `predict` for R objects of class `"glm"` produced by R function `glm`. Say

```
predict(out, type = "probs")
```

to get predicted class probabilities. The way I figured this out was to look at the source, which is found with

```
getS3method("predict", "polr")
```

(shouldn't have to do that). Maybe Venables and Ripley expect you to look in their book.

**Our problem 4.4.** Agresti problem 4.13. The data can be read into R as follows

```
library(CatDataAnalysis)
data(exercise_4.13)
sapply(exercise_4.13, class)

##      game      made  attempts
## "integer" "integer" "integer"
```