

Tabular Display of Data

“Getting information from a table is like extracting sunlight from a cucumber.”

Farquhar and Farquhar, 1891, p55.

“To build any effective display we must have a firm notion of purpose. We cannot know what the best answers are unless we know what the questions are. Thus we must first understand what questions will be asked of data. Any discussion of data display in the abstract is pointless.” *Wainer (1997 JEBS)*

Ehrenberg’s Strong Criterion for a Good Table: The patterns and exceptions in a table should be obvious at a glance.

Ehrenberg’s Weak Criterion for a Good Table: The patterns and exceptions in a table should be obvious at a glance once one has been told what they are.

Things to Consider:

- Round Appropriately
- Order Rows/Columns Sensibly
- Add Row/Column Summaries
- Transpose for easy comparison
(usually easier to compare numbers down columns)
- Clean layout/proper spacing
- Avoid multivariate tables
- Add labels, titles, explanatory text
- Emphasize unusual values

Start on the top side of your handout. Does the table meet the strong criterion? the weak criterion? Why or why not? What would you change?

Then open up the bottom. What changed? Is this table easier to understand? Why or why not?

UK Vessels

Before

UK Merchant Vessels over 500 tons in Service

	1962	1967	1973
Number of vessels			
All vessels	2,689	2,181	1,776
Passenger	242	173	122
Dry cargo	1,847	1,527	1,165
Tankers	600	481	489
Deadweight in thousands of tons			
All vessels	26,577	27,488	46,763
Passenger	1,467	919	349
Dry cargo	13,990	14,362	20,115
Tankers	11,120	12,167	26,299

After

UK Merchant Vessels in Service

	1962	1967	1973
Vessels over 500 tons			
Number			
Passenger	240	170	120
Tankers	600	480	490
Dry cargo	1,850	1,530	1,170
All vessels	2,690	2,180	1,780
Deadweight tons (thousands)			
Passenger	1,470	920	350
Tankers	11,120	12,170	26,300
Dry cargo	13,990	14,360	20,120
All vessels	26,580	27,500	46,770

TV Correlations

Before

Correlation among TV audiences

	PrB	ThW	Tod	WoS	GrS	LnU	MoD	Pan	RgS	24H
ITV	PrB	1.000	0.106	0.065	0.505	0.474	0.092	0.473	0.168	0.309
	ThW	0.106	1.000	0.270	0.142	0.132	0.189	0.082	0.352	0.064
	Tod	0.065	0.270	1.000	0.093	0.070	0.155	0.038	0.200	0.051
	WoS	0.505	0.142	0.093	1.000	0.622	0.079	0.581	0.187	0.297
	GrS	0.474	0.132	0.070	0.622	1.000	0.085	0.593	0.181	0.341
	LnU	0.092	0.189	0.155	0.079	0.085	1.000	0.049	0.197	0.097
	MoD	0.473	0.082	0.039	0.581	0.593	0.049	1.000	0.131	0.327
	Pan	0.168	0.352	0.200	0.187	0.181	0.197	0.131	1.000	0.147
	RgS	0.309	0.064	0.051	0.296	0.341	0.097	0.326	0.147	1.000
	24H	0.124	0.395	0.244	0.140	0.142	0.266	0.122	0.524	0.121

Sports Programs:
PrB: Prof. Boxing
WoS: World of Sport
GrS: Grandstand
MoD: Match of the Day
RgS: Rugby Special

News Programs:
ThW: This Week
Tod: Today
LnU: Line Up
Pan: Panorama
24H: 24 Hours

After

Correlation among TV audiences

Programmes	WoS	MoD	GrS	PrB	RgS	24H	Pan	ThW	Tod	LnU
World of Sport	.6	.6	.5	.3	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
Match of the Day	.6	.6	.5	.3	.1	.1	.1	.1	.0	.0
Grandstand	.6	.6	.5	.3	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
Prof. Boxing	.5	.5	.5	.3	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
Rugby Special	.3	.3	.3	.3	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
24 Hours	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1	.5	.4	.2	.2	.2
Panorama	.2	.1	.2	.1	.5	.4	.4	.2	.2	.2
This Week	.1	.1	.1	.1	.4	.4	.3	.3	.2	.2
Today	.1	.0	.1	.1	.2	.2	.3	.2	.2	.2
Line Up	.1	.0	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2

Unemployment
Before

Unemployment in Great Britain (thousands)				
	1966	1968	1970	1973
Total unemployed	330.9	549.4	582.2	597.9
Males	259.6	460.7	495.3	499.4
Females	71.3	88.8	86.9	98.5

Battery Life
Before

Battery Brand	Battery Life in Hours				
	Cassette Player	Radio	Flashlight	Portable Computer	
Constant Charge	5	19	10	3	
Electro-Blaster	10	26	15	4	
Never Die	8	28	16	6	
PowerBat	7	24	13	5	
Servo-Cell	4	21	12	2	

After

Unemployment in Great Britain (thousands)			
Year	Male	Female	Total
1966	260	71	330
1968	460	89	550
1970	500	87	580
1973	500	99	600
Average	430	86	520

After

Battery Brand	Battery Life in Hours				
	Radio	Flash. Player	Cass. Player	Port. Comp.	Brand Averages
Never Die	28	16	8	6	15
Electro-Blaster	26	15	10	4	14
PowerBat	24	13	7	5	12
Servo-Cell	21	12	4	2	10
Constant Charge	19	10	5	3	9
Usage averages	24	13	7	4	12

Reporting Proportions

A researcher develops a new drug to prevent the common cold in children over the age of two. In his study, 1000 children received placebo and 1000 received the drug. During the six month follow-up period, the researchers diagnosed colds in 650 of the children on placebo and in 500 who received the new drug.

Calculate the odds ratio. Write a sentence using it, using the context of this example.

“The treatment decreases colds by 23%.” Is this correct? Would you change the wording?

“The treatment decreases colds by 15%.” Is this correct? Would you change the wording?”

Calculate the number needed to treat (NNT). Write a sentence using it.

	Sick	Healthy	Total
Placebo	S_P	H_P	N_P
Drug	S_D	H_D	N_D
Total	N_S	N_H	N

$$\text{Odds ratio (OR)} = (S_D/H_D)/(S_P/H_P)$$

$$\text{Relative Risk Reduction (RRR)} = 1 - (S_D/N_D)/(S_P/N_P)$$

$$\text{Absolute Risk Reduction (ARR)} = (S_P/N_P) - (S_D/N_D)$$

$$\text{Number Needed to Treat (NNT)} = 1/\text{ARR}$$

Relative risk is the most commonly reported form of risk reduction. That is because it usually makes an effect or result sound more impressive. If you are a researcher seeking funding based on the results of your work, or are trying to get media attention for your discovery, or if you are a drug company trying to convince patients or doctors to prescribe your medication, you are motivated to make the results sound as impressive as possible. For example, consider the following three scenarios, each with a different prevalence of the outcome in question, and calculate the odds ratio, the absolute risk reduction, the relative risk reduction, and the number needed to treat.

	Control Rate	Experimental Rate	OR	ARR	RRR	NNT
Scenario A	1%	0.5%				
Scenario B	10%	5%				
Scenario C	50%	25%				

Which number sounds the most impressive for each scenario? Which treatment matters more?

Continuing with the cold study... If they developed symptoms of a cold, they were also examined to look for the presence of an ear infection. Ear infection was diagnosed in 300 of the children with colds on active treatment and in 298 of the children with colds on placebo.

Discuss with your group how you would report these results. Keep in mind that this is from the same study as the first example.

Name: _____

What's your new "pet peeve" about tables? Or, what's one thing you'll now always try to do when making a table?

Name: _____

What's your new "pet peeve" about tables? Or, what's one thing you'll now always try to do when making a table?
